



Arthur B. McDonald Canadian Astroparticle Physics Research Institute





# Suspension

Collaboration Meeting 2024-08-19

koby.dering@queensu.ca

# Overview



# Timeline

#### • January – April

- o Model PV and platform response to earthquake with new SNOLAB seismic data
- Look at wire rope cables and response with empty water tanks (no buoyancy / drag effects)

#### • May

- o Look at response to filled water tank on suspension uplift and impact loads are a concern
- Change to rigid bar

#### • June

- Effect of full water tank: drag negligible tank is weakly attached to ground horizontally so doesn't move much in earthquake, and vertical water velocity is very small
- $\circ$  Effect of full water tank: dynamic pressure is significant earthquake can accelerate the water vertically: find water tank f<sub>n</sub>  $\rightarrow$  peak water acceleration  $\rightarrow$  peak buoyant load on PV

#### • July

o Install suspension reinforcing beams

#### August

- Finalize design report for PV and platform response
- $\circ$  ~ Recheck PV stresses with PVEng with new max PV up and down acceleration and buoyancy
- o Issue PO to Makami for 3rd party review (check that assumptions, calculation approaches, and results appear reasonable and consistent with engineering best practice)
- o Measure alignment of platform and water tank and install suspension lugs

#### • September / October

- o Complete 3<sup>rd</sup> party review with Makami
- o Complete component design of suspension elements
- o Install outer lid and fit up suspension feedthroughs
- Complete suspension TDR
- o Begin mobilizing for PV UG construction
- Procure suspension hardware

# Load Cases

Case	Experiment	Water Tank	Detector	Mass, kg	Buoyancy, kg
1 – Normal operating	PICO	Full	Filled, suspended from platform	19550	12400
	DEAP	Full	Filled, suspended from platform on seismic isolators	20000	20000
2 – PICO commissioning, DEAP operating	PICO	Empty	Filled, suspended from platform	19550	0
	DEAP	Full	Filled, suspended from platform on seismic isolators	20000	20000
3 – PICO commissioning, DEAP offline	PICO	Empty	Filled, suspended from platform	19550	0
	DEAP	Empty	Empty, <u>rigidly suspended</u> from platform (no seismic isolation)	16400	0
4 – PICO operating, DEAP offline	PICO	Full	Filled, suspended from platform	19550	12400
	DEAP	Empty	Empty, <u>rigidly suspended</u> from platform (no seismic isolation)	16400	0

- Modeling of all 4 cases is complete
  - PV accelerations and lug loading are similar to prior cable work, except compression load is new and must be checked
  - Overall impact of DEAP is small
- Largest PICO response when DEAP tank is full (DEAP is decoupled from platform → platform has higher fn → higher acceleration)
  - Case 1 max compression on suspension: 127 kN
  - Case 2 max tension on suspension: 113 kN
  - Case 1 and 2 max horizontal load

## Case 1 Displacement

- Limited to about +/- 1 cm
- Designing for +/-3"



#### Platform relative to floor



#### 0.003 From Ground acceleration From Buoyancy 0.002 — Sum 0.001 0.000 -0.001 -0.002 Displacement(m), Hor -0.003 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 1.25 1.50 1.75 2.00 time (s)

1.75

2.00

2.00

### PV relative to platform





# Case 1 Acceleration: max x/y 0.03g max z 1.4g

## PICO tank full, DEAP tank full Upwards load on platform



# Case 2 Acceleration: max x/y 0.03g max z 1.3g

## PICO tank empty, DEAP tank full Downwards load on platform



# Case 3 PI Acceleration: max x/y 0.02g max z 1.3g

## PICO tank empty, DEAP tank empty Downwards load on platform



# Case 4 PIC Acceleration: max x/y 0.02g max z 1.3g

## PICO tank full and DEAP tank empty Upwards load on platform



# Case 1 Utilization (should be <1)

## PICO tank full, DEAP tank full Upwards load on platform



1 – Normal operating

2 – PICO commissioning, DEAP operating

3 – PICO commissioning, DEAP offline

4 – PICO operating, DEAP offline

# Case 2 Utilization (should be <1)

### PICO tank empty, DEAP tank full Downwards load on platform



1 – Normal operating 2 – PICO commissioning, **DEAP** operating 3 – PICO commissioning, DEAP offline 4 – PICO operating, DEAP offline

## Case 3 Utilization (should be <1)

### PICO tank empty, DEAP tank empty Downwards load on platform



2024-08-19

## Case 4 Utilization (should be <1)

## PICO tank full and DEAP tank empty Upwards load on platform



# PV PVEng Scope

Case 1 - check stresses with max downward loading (water tank empty)

- Pressure + Weight + Earthquake
- Pressure = 220 psi
- 1a Earthquake = 1.5g vertical (downward gravity load), 0.03g N/S and E/W
- 1b Earthquake = 1.5g vertical (downward gravity load), 0.3g N/S and E/W

### • Case 2 – check stresses with max upward loading (water tank full)

- Weight + Earthquake + Buoyancy
- (Pressure = 0 psi)
- Earthquake = 1.75g vertical (upward gravity load), 0.03g N/S and E/W
- Buoyancy = 3g vertical (upward buoyancy load = 3g \* 12400 kg)

### Case 3 – check stresses at lugs (20 deg from vertical)

- o 3a Tension Increase until code stress limit (need at least 127 kN)
- 3b Compression Increase until code stress limit (need at least 135 kN)



# Mechanical Design

- Mechanical design starting soon
- Primary element is round SS HSS 89x9.5 (3.5" OD x 3/8" thick wall)
- Other requirements:
  - Provision for adjustment of the length of each suspension element by +/- 3".
  - o All suspension elements located inside the water tank must be stainless steel.
  - Suspension elements must fit through the openings in the outer lid.
  - Provision for adapting a light-tight seal between each suspension element and its opening in the outer lid. The suspension element will ideally be smooth and round near the lid opening to facilitate making a light-tight seal.
  - It must be possible to move the suspension elements out of the way of the PV during the final PV lift. This involves lifting the PV up by roughly
     6 ft from its normal operating elevation to allow for the IV to be positioned underneath the PV.
  - The PV-side of the suspension element must adapt to the existing four suspension lugs on the top of the PV, as detailed on reference document N – 2023-03-01 - PICO-500 PV drawings.
  - Suspension element clevis pins must be appropriately captured to prevent loosening/shifting from small side loads or vibrations.
  - Consider installation methodology to install the suspension elements and balance loading between the four supporting elements, as reasonably possible.
  - The suspension connections at the platform-side must be aligned with the as-built location of the connections on the PV. This is necessary to ensure that loads on the suspension elements are axial only and not acting out-of-plane.

# Wrapping up

Urgent need to close out engineering and design + reviews

 PVEng stress analysis of PV
 Makami 3rd party review for PV and platform response
 Mechanical design of suspension elements
 Suspension TDR at SNOLAB

• Completion of these will allow for welding of the PV to begin

