

Liberté Égalité Fraternité



CABRI TEST EVENTS MONITORING THROUGH THREE MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS

Quentin GRANDO Vincent CHEVALIER Léna LEBRETON Jacques DI SALVO

 On behalf on the CABRI experimental team

Table of contents

- I. Introduction: the CABRI reactor and RIA transient
- II. Analysis performed from the point of view of:
 - 1. Sensors implemented in the test device during the transient
 - 2. Hodoscope tool
 - 3. Gamma spectrometry and X-imagery on the final state of the fuel rod
- III. Combination of the observations by the different systems
- IV. Conclusions and perspectives



I. Introduction: the CABRI reactor and RIA transient



I. Introduction: the CABRI reactor

IRS

CABRI is an experimental pool-type reactor located in Cadarache nuclear research center

- It is devoted to study fuel behavior under Reactivity Initiated Accident (RIA)
- It was used to study this kind of accident in sodium fast reactors
- CABRI International Program, managed by IRSN in collaboration with CEA, under OECD/NEA agreement

Huge renovation conducted: a water loop built to reproduce the thermohydraulic conditions of a Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) => conditions of a RIA in a PWR



I. Introduction: the CABRI reactor



Test rod is inside a cavity of the new water loop in the center of the driver core Insertion reactivity is still created by depressurizing ³He



I. Introduction: RIA transient

Experimental detectors follow the excursion of power from few MW to 20 GW

Pulses widths from 10 ms to few dozens of ms

For this pulse, focus on an event detected at TOP_{ONSET} + 379 ms (Transient Over Power ONSET, noted t0). Signal will be carefully studied in the time interval [t0 + 375 ms ; t0 + 392 ms]





II. ANALYSIS Performed



II.1 ANALYSIS Performed : from the test device point of view





II.1 Schematic view of the test device

- CABRI test device : instrumented test rod holder
- Initial conditions: PWR conditions (155 bar, 280 °C)
- Test rod held in the central part
- Heaviliy instrumented upstream and downstream parts
- Around 50 sensors
- Focus :
 - Rod elongation sensor
 - Transient flowmeters
 - Pressure sensors
 - Acoustic events detectors



Cabri WL closure and instrumentation



II.1 Analysis of the signal measured by the test device sensors during the transient

- All the sensors focused on are responsive to the event
- Elongation sensor first
 - Fast signal decrease
 - Then back to an average value
- Then the transient flowmeters
 - Each one in the opposite direction, sign of steam burst and water ejection









II.1 Analysis of the signal measured by the test device sensors during the transient

Acoustic sensors

See the phenomenon but with very limited accuracy

Pressure sensors

- They indicate a pressure peak
- More violent downstream than upstream
- Last sensors to react







II.1 Analysis of the signal measured by the test device sensors during the transient

Sensors reacted to an event in the test channel

Most of them indicate a fuel coolant interaction (flow inversion + pressure peak)

The slight timing difference between upstream and downstream sensors tends to indicate it happened in the upper part of the rod

...but very difficult to time or located exactly : certainly a two phases mixture



II.2 ANALYSIS Performed : from the hodoscope point of view



II.2 Analysis of the signal measured by the hodoscope system



II.2 Schematic view of the hodoscope equipment

- The hodoscope measures on line the fuel motion deduced from the detection of the fast neutrons emitted by the test rod during the transient.
- Proton counters (CH_4):
- For low power : ~ 10 kW to 50 MW
- Energy threshold: 400 keV
- Fission Chambers (²³⁷Np):
- For high power: ~ 1 MW to 25 GW
- Energy threshold: ~ 650 keV





II.2 Hodoscope measurements

Evolution of the axial fuel distribution during the transient: plot of the SNR(t)/SNR(BT) indicator for each row of the upper part of the fuel, with a variable time range and up to 1 ms during the transient

Green/Red: Fuel accumulation/lack of fuel

Blue: normalized power peak measured by fission chambers

From t0+375 to t0+392 ms: focus on the interval of time for the investigated event





II.2 Hodoscope measurements

Final state measured during a power plateau at 100 kW: for each row of the upper part of the fuel, with Proton Recoils and Fission Chambers detectors

Green/red: fuel accumulation/lack of fuel







II.3 Analysis performed from the IRIS imagery and γ-spectrometry system point of view





II.3 Schematic view of IRIS System

IRSN

IRSN non destructive examination bench. Located near the CABRI reactor experimental cell ground level inside » Fast transfer in vertical position the reactor building Quantitative gamma-scanning » Germanium detector -5m level » Vertical translation of the test device gamma acquisition station X-ray transmission radiography » X-rays production by a compact prototype accelerator adapted to reactor building constraints y line-of-sight » Onedimension NMOS camera » Vertical translation of the test device -6 m : X-ray emission point X-ray line-of-sight X-ray transmission tomography 8MeV max energy » Radiography done each 0.4° angular rotation X-ray 11Gy/min at 1m » Home treatment code to build tomography digital spot size <1mm camera

IRIS (Installation for Radiography, Imaging and Spectrometry)

II.3 IRIS Gamma spectrometry measurements

Activity profile as a function of the test device (vertical position)

Comparison of the activitybefore and after the transient

```
Before: <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>154</sup>Eu, <sup>134</sup>Cs
```

- » Pellets, inter-pellets,
- » BFC (Bottom of Fissile Column), TFC (Top of Fissile Column)
- » Integrated activity

After: same radio-isotopes + short live isotopes (¹⁴⁰La/Ba, ⁹⁵Zr/Nb ...)

- » Fuel movement: lack, accumulation
- » BFC, TFC shift?
- » Activity in plenums?
- » Loss of integrated activity?



IRSN



III. Combination of the analysis

- During the transient:
 - fuel motion detection (accumulation) for rows 14, 22, 23 simultaneously and/or after transient flowmeters indicates steam burst and water ejection (time step for hodoscope equal to 1 ms)
 - For row 14 (top of the fissile column), accumulation of fuel after the detection of the event by the axial rod elongation sensor

Hodoscope results are coherent with test device sensors measurements: a clear effect on the fissile column can be detected consequently to the event, with a displacement of the top of the fissile column and several rows for which the quantity of fissile material decrease





Time from the TOP (s)

III. Combination of the analysis

- Final state of the fuel rod
 - For hodoscope measurements: comparison before/after the transient. Row 14 (TFC) is higher after the test / before
 - This can be compared to the X-radiography
 - Gamma emission, X-radiography and hodoscope both show:
 - particular augmentation of the fissile material at the same axial position,
 - Important decrease of fissile material in a lower axial position
 - Quantitative results relative to the loss of mass of the fissile column can be accessed by hodoscope and gamma-spectrometry



IV. Main results

- RIA test in CABRI reactor are deeply instrumented with robust on-line instrumentation and Non Destructive Examinations performed quickly after the transient, directly in the CABRI facility
- Failure events can be detected and located with the sensors embedded in the test device, the subsequent movement of fuel are recorded during the transient thanks to the hodoscope system
- At the end, NDE performed with IRIS facility confirms the observations gathered with the hodoscope and provides the final state of the fuel rod, confirming and localizing more precisely the event

The combination of all these systems makes CABRI experimentations almost unique and powerful for the RIA studies





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

